UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,394	10/20/2003	Justin Monk	020375-043300US	3753
20350 7590 02/10/2011 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH ELOOP			EXAMINER	
			HAVAN, THU THAO	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docket@kilpatricktownsend.com ipefiling@kilpatricktownsend.com jlhice@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,394	MONK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THU-THAO HAVAN	3695	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 16-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 6 and 8-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ecepted or b) objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been a au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3695

Detailed Action

Note: A new non-final office action after the decision on appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals submitted on December 30, 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

With regard to claims 6 and 8-15, a claimed process is eligible for patent protection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 if:

"(1) it is tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) it transforms a particular article into a different state or thing. See Benson, 409 U.S. at 70 ('Transformation and reduction of an article 'to a different state or thing' is the clue to the patentability of a process claim that does not include particular machines.'); Diehr, 450 U.S. at 192 (holding that use of mathematical formula in process 'transforming or reducing an article to a different state or thing' constitutes patent-eligible subject matter); see also Flook, 437 U.S. at 589 n.9 ('An argument can be made [that the Supreme] Court has only recognized a process as within the statutory definition when it either was tied to a particular apparatus or operated to change materials to a 'different state or thing' '); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 788 (1876) ('A process is...an act, or a series of acts, performed upon the subject-matter to be transformed and reduced to a different state or thing.').7 A claimed process involving a fundamental principle that uses a particular machine or apparatus would not pre-empt uses of the principle that do not also use the specified machine or apparatus in the manner claimed. And a claimed process that transforms a particular article to a specified different state or thing by applying a fundamental principle would not pre-empt the use of the principle to transform any other article, to transform the same article but in a manner not covered by the claim, or to do anything other than

Art Unit: 3695

transform the specified article." (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385, 1391 (Fed. Cir. 2008))

Also noted in *Bilski* is the statement, "Process claim that recites fundamental principle, and that otherwise fails 'machine-or-transformation' test for whether such claim is drawn to patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101, is not rendered patent eligible by mere field-of-use limitations; another corollary to machine-or-transformation test is that recitation of specific machine or particular transformation of specific article does not transform unpatentable principle into patentable process if recited machine or transformation constitutes mere 'insignificant post-solution activity." (*In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385, 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008)*) Examples of insignificant post-solution activity include data gathering and outputting. Furthermore, the machine or transformation must impose meaningful limits on the scope of the method claims in order to pass the machine-or-transformation test. Please refer to the USPTO's "Guidance for Examining Process Claims in view of *In re Bilski*" memorandum dated January 7, 2009,

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/documents/bilski_guidance_memo.pdf .

It is also noted that the mere recitation of a machine in the preamble in a manner such that the machine fails to patentably limit the scope of the claim does not make the claim statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as seen in the Board of Patent Appeals Informative Opinion *Ex parte Langemyr et al.* (Appeal 2008-1495), http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/its/fd081495.pdf.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 3695

Claims 6 and 8-15 are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus nor do they transform a particular article into a different state or thing, thereby failing the machine-or-transformation test; therefore, claims 6 and 8-15 are non-statutory under § 101.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 16-21 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior arts of record fail to teach, singly or in combination, an account acquisition fraud management system, the account acquisition fraud management system comprising: a first analysis engine, wherein the first analysis engine is associated with a first stored value product; a second analysis engine, wherein the second analysis engine is associated with a second stored value product from a different issuer than an issuer of the first stored value product; and a cross monitor, wherein the cross monitor is operable to accept a first transaction information from the first analysis engine about a first transaction with the first stored value product and a second transaction information from the second analysis engine about a second transaction with the second stored value product, wherein the first transaction information is provided from the cross monitor to the second analysis engine; and wherein the second analysis engine is operable to recognize a common load source account to associate the transactions and determine a transaction velocity from the first and second transaction information, and stalling the second transaction when the transaction velocity exceeds a velocity threshold.

Art Unit: 3695

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday at 6am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on (571) 272-6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Thu Thao Havan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695 Application/Control Number: 10/690,394

Page 6

Art Unit: 3695